

MEMO ENDORSED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

TAASERA LICENSING LLC and QUEST PATENT
RESEARCH CORPORATION,

Defendants.


Case No. 1:22-cv-02306-ER

NOTICE OF MOTION

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, upon the accompanying Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendant Quest Patent Research Corporation's Motion to Dismiss Palo Alto Networks, Inc.'s ("Palo Alto" or "Plaintiff") First Amended Complaint (D.I. 21) for Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement and the exhibits thereto, the Declaration of John C. Scahill, and all prior pleadings and proceedings had herein, Defendant Quest Patent Research Corporation hereby moves this Court, at a time and date determined by the Court, for an order (i) pursuant to 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (a) granting dismissal of Plaintiff Palo Alto Networks, Inc. First Amended Complaint (D.I. 21) for Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement as against Defendant Quest Patent Research Corporation in its entirety, and (b) a determination that no alter ego exists between defendants Taasera Licensing LLC and Quest Patent Research Corporation, and (ii) granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Defendant Quest Patent Research Corporation's motion to dismiss, Doc. 25, is denied without prejudice. Defendant is directed to file a letter requesting a pre-motion conference in connection with its motion to dismiss pursuant to the Court's Individual Practices. It is SO ORDERED.



Edgardo Ramos, U.S.D.J

Dated: June 1, 2022

New York, New York

Dated: New York, New York
May 31, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

TARTER KRINSKY & DROGIN LLP

By: /s/ Mark J. Rosenberg
Mark J. Rosenberg

1350 Broadway, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10018
Tel. (212) 216-8000
Fax (212) 216-8001
mrosenberg@tarterkrinsky.com

Attorneys for Defendant
Quest Patent Research Corporation